| CA- | PI | VI | M |
|-----|----|----|---|
|-----|----|----|---|

| Project Name: | CALTIDES |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| OCIO Project #: | |
| Department: | CDE and CCTC |
| Revision Date: | 5/6/09 |

Current Status Report

| Questions | Yes/No | Cause | Impact | Action Required |
|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule? | Yes | | | |
| 2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled? | Yes | RFP Addendum 3 iterations w/DGS | Vendor selection still planned for mid September | SPR will be developed for 11/09 submittal |
| 3. Was work done that was not planned? | Yes | RFP Addendum 3 iterations w/DGS | | |
| 4. Were there any changes to scope? | No | | | |
| 5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated? | Yes | RFP Addendum 3 iterations w/DGS | | |
| 6. Were any tasks or milestones removed? | No | | | |
| 7. Were any scheduled tasks not started? | Yes | Procurement Phase Delays | 1 year, 8 month delay for project | SPR will be developed for 11/09 submittal |
| 8. Are there any new major issues? | No | | | |
| Are there any staffing problems? | Yes | CDE Technical Lead 5/8 departure | Existing TL is available for Proposal Evaluations | CDE TSD Director finding replacement |

| CA | -P | M | M |
|----|----|---|---|
| | | | |

| Project Name: | CALTIDES |
|-----------------|--------------|
| OCIO Project #: | |
| Department: | CDE and CCTC |
| Revision Date: | 5/6/09 |

Look Ahead View

| Questions | Yes/No | Impact | Action Required |
|---|--------|--|---|
| Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed? | Yes | Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for Procurement Completion | Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle |
| Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled? | Yes | Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones | Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle |
| 3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done? | No | | |
| Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope? | No | | |
| 5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added? | No | | |
| 6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan? | No | | |
| 7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed? | Yes | Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones | Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle |
| 8. Are any major new issues foreseeable? | No | | |
| Are any staffing problems anticipated? | No | | |

| Project Name: | CALTIDES |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| OCIO Project #: | |
| Department: | CDE and CCTC |
| Revision Date: | 5/6/09 |

Current Status and Accomplishments:

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

RFP Addendum 3 released to bidders.

Documentation of existing Business Process continues. Efforts are on track as planned.

Statewide Educator ID (SEID) Implementation - 86% complete. Seven % due to needed conversion of lifetime credentials (expected completion date is 5/2010). Remaining 7% due to districts that didn't report SEID for various reasons. CDE and CTC currently researching and following up with LEAs/districts.

Lifetime Credential Conversion is making good progress; currently CTC is converting an average of 1,500 Credentials per month; as of the beginning of April 2009 over 7,200 Credentials have been converted to date. CTC is currently projecting the completion of lifetime credential conversion for May 2010.

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

| Milestone | Target Date | Forecast Date | Status | Cause & Impact to Implementation Date | Date Completed |
|--|----------------|------------------|-------------|--|----------------|
| Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval | 7/14/06 | 5/12/06 | Complete | | 5/12/06 |
| Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals | 7/14/08 | 2/25/10 | In progress | Procurement phase delays | |
| Phase III: System Development and Implementation | 11/9/09 | 3/14/13 | Not started | Implementation estimated end date of 1/3/2012. March 2013 forecast date includes PIER. | |

| CA | -P | M | M |
|----|----|---|---|
| | | | |

| Project Name: | CALTIDES |
|-----------------|--------------|
| OCIO Project #: | |
| Department: | CDE and CCTC |
| Revision Date: | 5/6/09 |

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

| | On Plan <5% | Caution 5-10% | Significant Variance >10% | Action Required |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Schedule | | | Х | Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle |
| Milestones | | | Х | SPR will be developed for 11/09 submittal |
| Deliverables | Х | | | |
| Resources | Х | | | |
| OneTime Cost | Х | | | |
| Continuing Cost | Х | | | |

| CA- | PΙ | V | M |
|-----|----|---|---|
|-----|----|---|---|

| Project Name: | CALTIDES |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| OCIO Project #: | |
| Department: | CDE and CCTC |
| Revision Date: | 5/6/09 |

Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

| Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind. | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|-------------|--|----------------|
| Milestone | Target Date | Forecast Date | Status | If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date | Date Completed |
| Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval | 7/14/06 | 5/12/06 | Complete | | 5/12/06 |
| Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals | 7/14/08 | 2/25/10 | In progress | Procurement phase delays | |
| Phase III: System Development and | 11/9/09 | 3/14/13 | Not started | Implementation estimated | |

| Variances | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | On Plan <5% | Caution 5-10% | Significant Variance >10% | Action Required |
| Schedule | | | Х | Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle |
| Milestones | | | Х | SPR will be developed for 11/09 submittal |
| Deliverables | X | | | |
| Resources | Х | | | |
| One Time Cost | X | | | |
| Continuing Cost | X | | | |

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

CA-PMM

| Project Name: | CALTIDES | | |
|---------------|----------|--|--|
| | | | |

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 5/6/09

Status Report

| Vital Sign | Variance | Value | Your Score |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|------------|
| | High Degree of Buy-In | 0 | |
| 1. Customer Buy-In | Medium Degree of Buy-In | 1 | 0 |
| | Low Degree of Buy-In | 2 | |
| | Strong Viability | 0 | |
| Technology Viability | Medium Viability | 1 | 0 |
| | Weak Viability | 2 | |
| | <5% | 0 | |
| Status of the Critical Path (delay) | 5% to 10% | 1 | 2 |
| | >10% | 2 | |
| 1. Coat to Data va Fatimeted Coat to | <5% | 0 | |
| Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to- Date (higher) | 5% to 10% | 1 | 0 |
| Date (Higher) | >10% | 2 | |
| E. Lligh Drobobility Lligh Impost | 0 to 3 | 0 | |
| 5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks | 4 to 6 | 1 | 0 |
| INIGNO | >6 | 2 | |
| 6. Unresolved Issues | On time | 0 | |
| (on time resolution) | Late with no impact | 1 | 0 |
| | Late impacting the critical path | 2 | |
| | Fully engaged | 0 | |
| 7. Sponsorship Commitment | Partially engaged | 1 | 0 |
| | Inadequate engagement | 2 | |
| | Strong alignment | 0 | |
| 8. Strategy Alignment | Partial alignment | 1 | 0 |
| | Weak or no alignment | 2 | |
| | Strong | 0 | |

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 5/6/09

Status Report

| 9. Value-to-Business | Medium | 1 | 0 |
|--|-------------------------------|-------|---|
| | Weak | 2 | |
| 10. Vendor Viability (provide | Strong | 0 | |
| rationale for the rating in the field | Medium | 1 | 0 |
| following the scorecard) | Weak | 2 | |
| 11. Milestone Hit Rate | >90% on time | 0 | |
| (rate of achievement as planned) | 80-90% on time | 1 | 2 |
| (rate of achievement as planned) | <80% on time | 2 | |
| 40 Deliverable Hit Dete | >90% on time | 0 | |
| 12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned) | 80-90% on time | 1 | 0 |
| (rate of production as planned) | <80% on time | 2 | |
| | >90% assigned and available | 0 | |
| 13. Actual vs. Planned Resources | 80-90% assigned and available | 1 | 0 |
| | <80% assigned and available | 2 | |
| 4.4. Occasion a likilization | <15% | 0 | |
| 14. Overtime Utilization | 15-25% | 1 | 0 |
| (% of effort that is overtime) | >25% | 2 | |
| | Highly Effective | 0 | |
| 15. Team Effectiveness | Moderately Effective | 1 | 0 |
| | Ineffective | 2 | |
| | | Total | 4 |

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20+

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

Rating based on review of draft proposals.

| CA- | PN | IM |
|-----|----|----|
| | | _ |

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 5/6/09

Status Report